

Confronting America's Most Ignored Crime Problem: The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003

Robert W. Dumond, MA, LCMHC, DipCFC

Prisoner sexual assault has plagued American corrections since its infancy in the 19th century. Although the incidence of prisoner sexual assault is unknown, recent studies reliably suggest the problem is widespread, often affecting the most vulnerable prisoners. The mental health and public health consequences, both within institutions and the community, are complex and devastating, requiring comprehensive intervention and treatment. These crimes have been largely ignored by correctional managers, compromising the safety and security of correctional institutions. The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 could play a vital role in managing a national scandal.

J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 31:354–60, 2003

The United States prides itself on the bulwark principles of freedom and equal justice under the rule of law. The founding fathers enshrined in the Bill of Rights specific guarantees for all citizens that have become the cornerstone of our constitutional system of justice. The innovative idea that the punishment should be proportional to the crime,¹ first heralded by Cesare Beccaria,² set the stage for penal and legislative reforms that radically altered the prevailing practice of capital punishment for a broad spectrum of crimes and replaced it with the penitentiary movement.

At the dawn of the 21st century, however, many who are incarcerated in American correctional institutions are poised on the brink of despair. Prisoner rape has become “an accepted fact of prison life,”³ “America’s most ignored crime problem,”⁴ which threatens the ability of local, state, and federal government to provide for the safe and humane treatment of the more than 2 million incarcerated in-

mates,⁵ in direct opposition to the Constitution’s Eighth Amendment guarantee against cruel and unusual punishment.

Following the publication by Human Rights Watch of the first national study of prisoner sexual assault in male correctional institutions⁶ in 2001, the cries for reform of this problem have become deafening. The national media have called for an end to the “cruel and usual punishment”⁷ of prison rape, stating that “America’s two million prison inmates have been lawfully deprived of their liberty, but they have not been sentenced to [the] physical and psychological abuse”⁸ of sexual assault. This national scandal has also drawn together a unique, bipartisan coalition of national legislators, social scientists, and religious, professional, and human rights organizations dedicated to alleviating this crisis through the passage of a historic piece of legislation, aptly entitled The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003.⁹ This article examines the incidence of prisoner sexual assault from the scant empirical data that exist and outlines its complex medical, psychological, social, and security consequences, initially presented by the author during a hearing before the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary on July 31, 2002.¹⁰

Mr. Dumond is a Licensed Clinical Mental Health Counselor and Senior Lecturer, Division of Professional and Graduate Studies, Franklin Pierce College, Rindge, NH. Address correspondence to: Robert W. Dumond, Consultants for Improved Human Services, 27 Baker Street, Hudson, NH 03051-3606. E-mail: rw Dumond@aol.com

Epidemiology of Prisoner Sexual Assault in U.S. Correctional Institutions

The scourge of prisoner sexual assault was recognized early in the history of U.S. corrections when the Rev. Louis Dwight of the Boston Discipline Society condemned this “dreadful degradation” in 1826 (Ref. 11, p 27). Although most Americans know it is a problem, no national database reporting such incidents exists, and therefore the extent of prison sexual assault is not precisely known.^{12,13} In 35 years, there have been fewer than 20 published studies conducted in an attempt to obtain an accurate assessment of its epidemiology,^{14–33} only four of which have included data about women prisoners.^{28,31–33}

We do, however, have a reliable baseline of incidence data from three large-scale studies of Midwestern prison systems recently conducted by Cindy Struckman-Johnson and her colleagues.^{28,29,31} She found that:

22 to 25 percent of prisoners are the victims of sexual pressuring, attempted sexual assault, or completed rapes [Ref. 28, p 71];

1 (10%) in 10 prisoners is the victim of a completed rape at least one time during the course of his or her incarceration [Ref. 28, p 72]; and

two-thirds of those reporting sexual victimization have been victimized repeatedly—an average of nine times during their incarceration—with some male prisoners experiencing up to 100 incidents of sexual assault per year [Ref. 28, p 71].

Using these data, it is reasonable to assume that in states with larger, heterogeneous urban populations, the rates of sexual assault are even higher. This assumption is supported by the study of one California medium security prison that found that one (14%) in seven inmates reported being sexually victimized.²¹ In fact, many scholars agree with the admonishment of Cotton and Groth “that available statistics must be regarded as very conservative at best, since discovery and documentation of this behavior are compromised by the nature of prison conditions, inmate codes and subculture and staff attitudes” (Ref. 34, p 48). One of the goals of the Act is to collect and validate scientifically the actual incidence of prisoner sexual assault in all correctional facilities nationwide.

Characteristics of Inmates Who Are Especially Vulnerable to Sexual Victimization

Although no inmate is immune from sexual victimization, empirical evidence demonstrates that

there are certain categories of male prisoners who are especially vulnerable: (1) the young and inexperienced; (2) the physically weak and small; (3) those suffering from mental illness or developmental disabilities; (4) those who are not “tough” or “street-wise”; (5) those who are not gang affiliated; (6) the homosexual, transgendered, or overtly effeminate; (7) those who have violated the “code of silence”; (8) those who are disliked by staff or other inmates; and (9) those who have been sexually assaulted.^{12–14,20,34–40,44} Race has also been identified as a factor contributing to prison rape in settings with high racial tension.^{16,20,21,37,41,42} It has been shown that targets of sexual aggression may act out violently themselves, making the transition from victim to aggressor in an effort to avoid further victimization,^{14,20,37,43} gain social status within the institution,⁴⁴ or seek revenge for having been victimized.^{34,35}

For female prisoners, particular characteristics do not play as large a role in determining who is targeted for sexual abuse, but first-time offenders, young women, and mentally disabled women are particularly vulnerable.^{45–49} Custodial sexual assault has received considerable attention,^{45–57} as it should, and many important steps have been initiated to rectify the problem. In the two largest empirically based studies that have been conducted,^{28,31} more incidents of sexual abuse were perpetrated by other female inmates than by male custodial staff. (In fact, these studies^{28,31} identified both male and female staff as responsible for between 20% and 50% of sexual victimization of female prisoners, depending on the institution). Such findings challenge traditionally held beliefs and reinforce the need to secure valid, empirically sound incidence data—a need that will be facilitated through passage of the Act.

The Complex and Devastating Consequences of Prisoner Sexual Assault

The consequences of being sexually assaulted are pervasive, devastating, and global—with profound physical, emotional, social, and spiritual components.^{12,13,34,35,58–63} The survivor of sexual assault has experienced a life-changing event that has a destructive and overwhelming impact.^{63,64} A victim may experience a lifetime of pain and suffering after only one event.⁶⁵ The effects of such victimization in prisons and jails have been shown to be even more debilitating because of the unique structure of incar-

ceration, which increases the impact on victims.^{6,13,36,62,66-69} Incarcerated victims are more often physically assaulted during attacks,^{6,28,29,31,62} and routinely experience a systematic, repetitive infliction of psychological trauma, fear, feelings of helplessness, and terror as the physical/sexual abuse continues.^{6,12,13,36,62,67-70} Male victims may be marked as “punks” and forced to endure years of sexual slavery and torture.^{6,21,36,62,69,70} The steps an inmate victim chooses to take in response to sexual assault (reporting the crime, seeking protective custody, engaging in protective pairing) will have a profound effect on his or her future while incarcerated.^{6,12,13,36,62,66}

Mental Health Sequelae

The mental health consequences of prisoner sexual assault are catastrophic. Male and female victims often experience posttraumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression, and exacerbation of preexisting psychiatric disorders, and most victims are at risk of committing suicide to avoid the ongoing trauma.^{6,12,13,20,28,29,31,34-39,62,63,66} Suicide, which has been described as the “crisis behind bars,”⁷¹ is the most serious mental health concern after an inmate is sexually assaulted.⁶² The precise rate of jail suicide is controversial, with estimates ranging from 47 to 114 per 100,000 (a rate 9 to 14 times higher than in the general population).^{72,73} Depending on the authority, suicide is listed as either the leading cause of death in U.S. jails⁷⁴ or the second leading cause of death after illnesses/natural causes (excluding AIDS).⁷⁵ Suicide was listed as the third leading cause of death in prisons in 1999.⁷⁶ In California and Texas, the two largest state prison systems, in 1993 the rate of suicide per 100,000 inmates was 26.4 and 25, respectively—both rates approximately two times higher than in the general population.⁷⁷

This problem is even more acute when one recognizes that U.S. jails and prisons currently house more persons with mental illness than the nation’s psychiatric hospitals.^{78,79} Between 60,000 and 100,000 of the persons admitted to jail in the United States are mentally ill,⁸⁰ and at midyear 1998, there were an estimated 283,800 inmates with mental illness in U.S. jails and prisons, representing 16 percent of state prison and local jail inmates and 7 percent of federal inmates.⁸¹ Many of these individuals have also experienced prior physical and sexual abuse (9.5 to 18.7 percent of all inmates report prior physical

abuse, and 7 to 16 percent of inmates report prior sexual abuse).⁸² Prior sexual and physical abuse can exacerbate the traumatic experience of sexual assault and can complicate the victim’s recovery,^{58-60,62,69} particularly those with mental illness, who can be especially traumatized.^{36,62,66,69} Unfortunately, most correctional facilities are ill prepared to provide adequate, comprehensive services to victims,^{62,83-85} who often fail to disclose their victimization out of fear and humiliation.^{12,13,28,29,31,62,86}

Public Health Consequences

The public health consequences of prisoner sexual assault are equally overwhelming. To understand the scope of the problem, it is necessary to identify the current medical condition of America’s prisoners:

Of all U.S. inmates, 24,074 (2.2%) state inmates and 1,014 (0.8%) federal inmates were known to be infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) on December 31, 2000,⁸⁷ with female prisoners being especially affected (3.6 percent versus 2.2 percent of males), representing an “epidemic behind the walls” (Ref. 88, p 77).

Of all jail inmates, 8,615 (1.7%) were known to be HIV positive as of midyear 1999 (Ref. 89, p 1).

The known rate of HIV infection among inmates is undoubtedly an underestimate, because testing is voluntary in many states, and many HIV-infected inmates who have not progressed to full blown AIDS can remain asymptomatic and be unaware of their status (Ref. 90, p 1).

There were 5,528 confirmed AIDS cases in U.S. prisons at year-end 2000 (Ref. 87, p 1) and 3,081 jail inmates were confirmed to have AIDS in U.S. jails as of midyear 1999 (Ref. 89, p 1). The AIDS prevalence rate among inmates is five times higher than among the total U.S. population (Ref. 91).

AIDS accounted for 10.1 percent ($n = 324$) of all inmate deaths in adult state and federal prisons in 1999 (Ref. 76).

Among recently released inmates in 1997, there were 465,000 cases of sexually transmitted disease (Ref. 84, p 4).

There were 1,400 cases of active tuberculosis (4% of the U.S. burden) in American correctional inmates in 1997 (Ref. 92), a diagnosis that often goes unrecognized in correctional settings (Ref. 93, p 705).

In the U.S. jail population, 22 to 39 percent of incarcerated persons manifest evidence of prior hepatitis A infection (Ref. 94, p 2).

Current or chronic hepatitis B was reported in 155,000 released inmates in 1997 (Ref. 83), and chronic hepatitis B infection was diagnosed in 1.0 to 3.7 percent of prison inmates, two to six times the national prevalence rate of 0.5 percent (Ref. 84).

Of the inmates released from U.S. jails and prisons in 1997, 1.3 to 1.4 million were infected with hepatitis C (Ref. 85, p 2), with 30 to 40 percent of the current inmates potentially infected (Ref. 95, p 1004).

With an estimated 12.6 million admissions and releases from U.S. jails and 625,000 admissions and

606,000 releases from state and federal prisons annually,^{5,85,92,96,97} the potential spread of these diseases, both within the prison population and into the general community, becomes ominous to consider. In addition to the possibility of exposure to disease, female inmates have been impregnated as a result of staff sexual misconduct.⁴⁸⁻⁵³ Some of these women have then been subjected to inappropriate segregation and denial of adequate health care services.^{49-53,55,56}

Intervention and Treatment in Sexual Victimization Incidents

The potential for serious, even lethal, injury of sexual assault victims, especially in incarceration settings, necessitates that the first priority be to treat any physical injury and to minimize the potential physical and psychological sequelae, which may be life threatening (HIV, AIDS, suicide). This requires specific interventions at four key phases: (1) immediately on disclosure of the assault; (2) within 72 hours after the assault; (3) in the short term; and (4) in the long term.^{12,13,34-62} Several models are worthy of note and should be consulted: the San Francisco Jail Crisis Intervention Protocol,⁹⁸ which has been reproduced in several publications^{35,36}; the Federal Bureau of Prisons protocol PS 5324.04 Sexual Abuse/Assault Prevention and Intervention Programs⁹⁹; the Standards of the National Commission of Correctional Health Care, notably Standard P-57 Sexual Assault¹⁰⁰; the Massachusetts Department of Correction protocol 103 DOC 520 Inmate Sexual Assault Response Plan¹⁰¹; and the procedures outlined by Dumond and Dumond (Ref. 62, notably Tables 5.1 and 5.2).

Knowledge of the Deleterious Effect of Prisoner Sexual Assault

The mission of America's correctional institutions is to provide for the care, custody, and control of those individuals committed to their supervision. Prisoner sexual assault destabilizes the safety and security of America's jails and prisons. For more than 25 years it has been recognized as a contributing factor in prison homicides, violence against inmates, and staff, and institutional insurrections and riots.^{18,23-25,102,103} Administrative and programmatic solutions, focusing on prevention, intervention, and prosecution, have long been recommended by au-

thorities, yet not implemented by the responsible officials.^{12,13,34-36,62,63,104,105} Strategies such as increasing surveillance of critical areas in the institution, improved classification procedures to identify potential victims and aggressors, adequate medical and mental health treatment for victims, and isolation and prosecution of offenders, have been proposed for over 20 years.^{6,12-14,21,23-25,34-36,62} Despite this, too many U.S. correctional officials have shown either ignorance of, misunderstanding of, or, most alarmingly, deliberate indifference toward this crisis.^{6,104,106}

In effect, prison administrators have been largely unaccountable for the prison sexual assaults committed under their watch.^{6,14,21,36,40,106} Some analysts have even suggested that prison sexual assaults have been used as a management tool to maintain order—a perverse and unacceptable practice, typified by the case of the “Booty Bandit,” an inmate allegedly used by correctional officers at the Corcoran State Prison in California to rape, torture, and abuse troublesome inmates.^{107,108}

A 2001 National Study on Prisoner Sexual Assault

The first national survey on prisoner sexual assault was conducted in all 50 state departments of correction and the Federal Bureau of Prisons by Human Rights Watch in 2001⁶ and confirmed that most correctional authorities deny the existence of prisoner sexual assault. Effective management can be implemented only by using accurate data. Yet only 23 of 46 corrections departments reported that they maintain distinct statistical information on inmate sexual assault, and no state-reported data are collected, consistent with the large sample surveys of Midwestern prisons. Despite universal consensus that correctional staff training is vital to addressing prison rape,^{6,12-15,20,21,23-25,34-36,62,63,102-105,109-112} only six state correctional departments (Arkansas, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, North Carolina, and Virginia) and the Federal Bureau of Prisons currently provide staff with such training.⁶ Criminal prosecution is almost nonexistent in cases of prisoner sexual assault.^{6,12,13,36,62,104} American correctional systems have considerably improved with professionalization. Nevertheless, the largest correctional accreditation agency, the American Correctional Association, did not promulgate standards mandating training on sexual assault until August 2002 and announced six

new standards (Standards 03-01 to 03-06)¹¹³ on screening, investigation, and treating inmate victims of sexual assault in January 2003, partly in response to national concerns.

The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003: Response to a National Scandal

The Act provides a tangible, comprehensive strategy to address the complex challenges posed by prisoner sexual assault. With accurate incidence data, correctional administrators can make rational decisions about staff deployment, inmate placement, and resource allocation, thereby improving the safety and security of America's institutions of confinement. This is a crisis that can be resolved without significant monetary expenditures. The Act's emphasis on visibility and accountability will be highly effective, as it mandates collection and maintenance of accurate information by correctional institutions and provides for careful scrutiny of each facility's prison rape abatement practices. Prison officials with poor responses will be held accountable for their inaction and indifference. The National Prison Rape Elimination Commission will also play a key role by developing reasonable standards in areas such as staff training, record keeping, and protection for whistleblowers. Correctional staff will operate in accordance with the highest ethical and professional standards, and comprehensive treatment for inmate victims will begin to heal the devastating impact of sexual assault.

In the only case of prisoner sexual assault brought before the United States Supreme Court, *Farmer v. Brennan*,¹¹⁴ a concurring opinion by Justice Blackmun stated that prison officials have an "affirmative duty under the Constitution to provide for the safety of inmates" and asserted that "being violently assaulted in prison is simply not part of the penalty."¹¹⁴ Unfortunately, we are still turning a "blind eye"¹¹⁵ to one of the most pervasive and devastating abuses that has been allowed to continue in our country, as the Act has yet to be implemented. (The Act was passed unanimously by both houses of Congress and was signed by the President on September 4, 2003.) Prisoner sexual assault destroys human dignity, contributes to the spread of disease, and perpetuates violence both inside and outside prison walls.

Unfortunately, prison rape has often been accepted as an inevitable consequence of incarceration. The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 will help alleviate the agony of numerous prisoners, many of

whom are the most vulnerable and who have suffered in silence. We have the technology and means to resolve this problem, but we have lacked the political will to implement a remedy. If America expects to continue to be the beacon of law and justice, we must take every step to end this cruel abuse.

References

1. Jefferson T: A bill for proportioning crimes and punishments in Virginia. Thomas Jefferson Digital Archive. Charlottesville, University of Virginia, 2002, available at <http://extest.lib.virginia.edu/jefferson> (accessed February 2003)
2. Beccaria C: Of Crimes and Punishments (1767). Translated by Henry Paolucci. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill Educational Publishing, Inc., 1963
3. Lewin T: Little sympathy or remedy for inmates who are raped. *The New York Times*. April 14, 2001, p A1
4. Lehrer E: Hell behind bars: The crime that dares not speak its name. *The National Review* 53:24-6, 2001
5. Harrison PM, Karberg JC: Prison and Jail Inmates at Midyear 2002. Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, April 2003, NCJ 198877
6. Mariner J: No Escape: Male Rape in U.S. Prisons. New York: Human Rights Watch, 2001
7. Editorial: Cruel and usual. *The Washington Post*. April 23, 2001, p 14
8. Editorial: Rape in prison. *The New York Times*. April 23, 2001, p A1
9. The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003. 108th Congress, HR 1707, available at <http://thomas.loc.gov/> (accessed June 2003)
10. Hearing before the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary on July 31, 2002., 107th Congress, available at <http://www.senate.gov/%7Ejudiciary/hearing.cfm?id=339> (accessed May 2003)
11. Katz J, editor: *Gay American History*. New York: Thomas Cromwell, 1976
12. Dumond RW: The sexual assault of male inmates in incarcerated settings. *Int J Sociol* 20:135-57, 1992
13. Dumond RW: Inmate sexual assault: the plague which persists. *Prison J* 80:407-14, 2000
14. Davis AJ: Sexual assaults in the Philadelphia prison system and sheriff's vans. *Trans-Action* 6:8-16, 1968
15. Jones DA: *The Health Risks of Imprisonment*. Lexington, MA: D. C. Heath, 1976
16. Carroll L: Humanitarian reform and biracial sexual assault in a maximum security prison. *Urban Life* 5:417-37, 1977
17. Fuller DA, Orsagh T: Violence and victimization within a state prison system. *Crim Just Rev* 2:35-55, 1977
18. Nacci PL: Sexual assault in prisons. *Am J Correct* 40:30-1, 1978
19. Moss CS, Hosford RE, Anderson W: Sexual assault in prison. *Psychol Rep* 44:823-8, 1979
20. Lockwood D: *Prison Sexual Violence*. New York: Elsevier, 1980
21. Wooden WS, Parker J: *Men Behind Bars: Sexual Exploitation in Prison*. New York: Plenum Press, 1982
22. Bartollas C, Sieverdes CM: The sexual victim in a coeducational juvenile correctional institution. *Prison J* 58:80-90, 1983
23. Nacci PL, Kane TR: The incidence of sex and sexual aggression in Federal prisons. *Fed Prob* 47:31-6, 1983
24. Nacci PL, Kane TR: Sex and sexual aggression in Federal prisons: inmate involvement and employee impact. *Fed Prob* 48:46-53, 1984
25. Nacci PL, Kane TR: Inmate sexual aggression: some evolving

- propositions, empirical findings, and mitigating counter-forces. *J Offend Counsel Serv Rehabil* 9:1–20, 1984
26. Tewksbury R: Measures of sexual behavior in an Ohio prison. *Sociol Soc Res* 74:34–39, 1989
 27. Saum C, Surratt H, Inciardi J, *et al*: Sex in prison: Exploring myths and realities. *Prison J* 75:413–30, 1995
 28. Struckman-Johnson CJ, Struckman-Johnson DL, Rucker L, *et al*: Sexual coercion reported by men and women in prison. *J Sex Res* 33:67–76, 1996
 29. Struckman-Johnson CJ, Struckman-Johnson DL: Sexual coercion rates in seven Midwestern prison facilities for men. *Prison J* 80:379–90, 2000
 30. Hensley C, Tewksbury R, Castle T: Characteristics of prison sexual assault targets in male Oklahoma correctional facilities. *J Interpers Violence* 18:595–606, 2003
 31. Struckman-Johnson CJ, Struckman-Johnson DL: Sexual coercion reported by women in three Midwestern prisons. *J Sex Res* 39:217–227, 2002
 32. Alarid LF: Sexual assault and coercion among incarcerated women prisoners: excerpts from prison letters. *Prison J* 80:391–406, 2000
 33. Hensley C, Castle T, Tewksbury R: Inmate-to-inmate sexual coercion in a prison for women. *J Offend Rehabil* 32:67–77, 2003
 34. Cotton DJ, Groth AN: Inmate rape: prevention and intervention. *J Prison Jail Health* 2: 47–57, 1982, p 48
 35. Cotton DJ, Groth AN: Sexual assault in correctional institutions: prevention and intervention, in *Victims of Sexual Aggression: Treatment of Children, Women and Men*. Edited by Stuart IR. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1984, pp 127–55
 36. Donaldson S: *Prisoner Rape Education Program: Overview for Administrators and Staff*. Brandon, VT: The Safer Society Press, 1993
 37. Lockwood D: *Sexual Aggression Among Male Prisoners*. Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms International, 1978
 38. Scacco AM: *Rape in Prison*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas, 1975
 39. Scacco AM: *Male Rape: a Casebook of Sexual Aggression*. New York: AMS Press, Inc., 1982
 40. Weiss C, Friar DJ: *Terror in the prisons: Homosexual rape and why society condones it*. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1974
 41. Lockwood D: Issues in prison sexual violence, in *Prison Violence in America* (ed 2). Edited by Braswell MC, Montgomery RH Jr, Lombardo LX. Cincinnati, OH: Henderson, 1994, pp 113–25
 42. Knowles GJ: Male prison rape: a search for causation and prevention. *Howard J* 38:267–82, 1999
 43. Chonco NR: Sexual assaults among male inmates: a descriptive study. *Prison J* 69:72–82, 1989
 44. Groth AN: *Men Who Rape: the Psychology of the Offender*. New York: Plenum Publishing Co., 1979
 45. LIS, Inc.: *Sexual Misconduct in Prison: Law, Agency Response, and Prevention*. Longmont, CO: U. S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Corrections, 1996
 46. LIS, Inc.: *Special Issues in Corrections: Survey of Mental Health Services in Large Jails and Jail Systems*. Longmont, CO: U. S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Corrections, 1996
 47. Human Rights Watch: *All Too Familiar: Sexual Abuse of Women in U.S. State Prisons*. New York: Author, 1996
 48. Baro AL: Spheres of consent: an analysis of the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of women incarcerated in the state of Hawaii. *Women Crim Just* 8:61–84, 1997
 49. Human Rights Watch: *Nowhere to Hide: Retaliation Against Women in Michigan State Prisons*. New York: Author, 1998
 50. Widney-Brown A: *Nowhere to hide: retaliation against women in Michigan state prisons*. *Human Rights Watch* 10:2–27, 1998
 51. Smith B: Testimony of Brenda V. Smith, Senior Counsel Director, Women in Prison Project, before the Maryland Senate on SB 156 Sexual Offenses-Custodial Employees and Persons in Custody. Washington, DC: National Women's Law Center, 1998
 52. Burton D, Erdman E, Hamilton G, *et al*: *Women in Prison: Sexual Misconduct by Correctional Staff*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office, General Government Division, 1999
 53. Phelps MJ: Officers having sex with inmates. *Correct Technol Manage* 3:12–20, 1999
 54. Amnesty International: *Not Part of My Sentence: Violations of the Human Rights in Custody*. New York: Author, 1999, available at <http://www.amnesty-usa.org/rightsforall?women/index.html> (accessed March 2002)
 55. Amnesty International: *Custodial Sexual Misconduct: Survey of All 50 States, DC and the Federal Bureau of Prisons*. New York: Author, 2000
 56. Springfield D: Sisters in misery: utilizing international law to protect United States female prisoners from sexual abuse. *Indiana Int Comp Law Rev* 10:457–86, 2000
 57. Amnesty International: *Broken Bodies, Shattered Minds: Torture and Ill-Treatment of Women*. London: Author, 2001
 58. Burgess AW, Holmstrom LL: Sexual assault: signs and symptoms. *J Emerg Nurs* 1:1115, 1975
 59. Burgess AW, Holmstrom LL: Crisis and counseling requests of rape victims. *Nurs Res* 23:196–202, 1974
 60. Burgess AW, Holmstrom LL: Rape syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry* 131:981–6, 1974
 61. Burgess AW: Rape trauma syndrome: a nursing diagnosis. *Occup Health Nurs* 33:405–6, 1985
 62. Dumond RW, Dumond DA: The treatment of sexual assault victims, in *Prison Sex: Practice and Policy*. Edited by Hensley C. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002, pp 67–88
 63. Fagan TJ, Wennerstrom D, Miller J: Sexual assault of male inmates: prevention, identification and intervention. *J Correct Health Care* 3:49–66, 1996
 64. Ruch LO, Chandler SM, Harter RA: Life change and rape impact. *J Health Soc Behav* 21:248–60, 1980
 65. Allison JA, Wrightsman LS: *Rape: the Misunderstood Crime*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, 1993
 66. Kupers TA: *Prison Madness: the Mental Health Crisis Behind Bars and What We Must Do About It*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1999
 67. Toch H: *Living in Prison: The Ecology of Survival* (revised). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1992
 68. Toch H: *Mosaic of Despair: Human Breakdowns in Prison* (revised). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1992
 69. Herman JL: Complex PTSD: a syndrome in survivors of prolonged and repeated trauma. *J Traum Stress* 5:277–389, 1992
 70. Smith NE, Batiuk ME: Sexual victimization and inmate social interaction. *Prison J* 69:29–38, 1989
 71. Danto B: *Crisis Behind Bars: The Suicidal Inmate: A Book for Police and Correctional Officers*. Warren, MI: Dale Corp., 1981
 72. Goss JR, Peterson K, Smith LW, *et al*: Characteristics of suicide attempts in a large urban jail system with an established suicide prevention program. *Ment Health Update* 11:1–6, 2002
 73. Farmer KA, Felthous AR, Holzer CE: Medically serious suicide attempts in a jail with a suicide prevention program. *J Forensic Sci* 41:240–6, 1996
 74. Hayes LM: From chaos to calm: one jail system's struggle with suicide prevention. *Behav Sci Law* 15:399–413, 1997
 75. Perkins CJ, Stephan J, Beck A: *Jail and Jail Inmates: 1993–1994*. Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin. Washington, DC: United

Stop Prisoner Rape

- States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, April 1995, NCJ 151651
76. Camp CG, Camp GM: *The Corrections Yearbook*, 2000. Middletown, CT: Criminal Justice Institute, 2001
 77. Hayes LM: *Prison Suicide: An Overview and Guide to Prevention*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Corrections, United States Department of Justice, 1995
 78. Chelala C: More mentally ill people reported in US prisons. *BMJ* 319:210, 1999
 79. Torrey EF: *Out of the Shadows: Confronting America's Mental Illness Crisis*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1997
 80. Harrington SPM: New bedlams: jails—not psychiatric hospitals—now care for the indigent mentally ill. *Humanist* 59:9, 1999
 81. Ditton PM: Mental health treatment and treatment of inmates and probationers. *Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, July 1999, NCJ 174463
 82. Harlow C: Prior Abuse Reported by Inmates and Probationers. *Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, April 1999, NCJ 172879
 83. National Commission on Correctional Health Care: *Health Status of Soon-to-be-Released Inmates: A Report to Congress (vol 1)*. Washington, DC: Author, 2002
 84. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of infections with hepatitis viruses in correctional settings. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rev* 52:1–36, 2003
 85. Harrison E: Testimony of Edward Harrison, President, National Commission on Correctional Health Care to the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary on the Prison Rape Reduction Act of 2002, Washington, DC, August 8, 2002
 86. Freund K: Caring for the victim of sexual assault. *Am J Prevent Med* 7:459–60, 1991
 87. Maruschak LM: HIV in Prisons, 2000. *Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, October 2002, NCJ 196023
 88. Degroot AS: HIV among incarcerated women: an epidemic behind the walls. *Corrections Today* 63:77–81, 97, 2001
 89. Maruschak LM: HIV in Prisons and Jails, 1999. *Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, July 2001, NCJ 187456
 90. Greifinger RB: *Optimal Management of HIV in Correctional Systems*. New York: World Health CME, 1999
 91. Cusac AM: The judge gave me 10 years: he didn't sentence me to death. *Progressive* 64:22–26, 2000
 92. National Commission on Correctional Health Care: *Health Status of Soon-to-Be Released Inmates: A Report to Congress*. Vol. 1. Washington, DC: Author, 2002, available at <http://www.ncchc.org> (accessed June 2003)
 93. MacIntyre CR, Kendig N, Kumner L: Unrecognized transmission of tuberculosis in prisons. *Eur J Epidemiol* 15:705–9, 1999
 94. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Prevention of hepatitis A through active or passive immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rev* 48:1–37, 1999
 95. Reindollar RW: Hepatitis C and the correctional population. *Am J Med* 107:1004–1035, 1999
 96. Beck AJ, Karberg JC, Harrison PM: Prison and jail inmates at midyear 2001 *Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin*. United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, April 2002, NCJ 191702
 97. Tucker N: Study warns of rising tide of released inmates. *The Washington Post*. May 21, 2003, p A1
 98. Cotton DJ: *Jail Sexual Assault: Revised Crisis Intervention Protocol*. San Francisco, CA: San Francisco Department of Public Health, Sexual Trauma Services, 1981
 99. Federal Bureau of Prisons: *Sexual Abuse/Assault Prevention and Intervention Programs*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 1997, PS 5324.04 (available at <http://www.bop.gov>)
 100. National Commission on Correctional Health Care: *Standards for Health Services in Prisons—2003*. Chicago: Author, 2003
 101. Massachusetts Department of Correction: *Inmate Sexual Assault Response Plan*. Milford, MA: Author, 2001, 103 DPC 520
 102. Sylvester DF, Reed JH, Nelson DO: *Prison Homicides*. New York: Spectrum Publications, 1977
 103. Bowler LH: *Prison Victimization*. New York: Elsevier, 1980
 104. Dumond RW, Dumond DA: Training staff of male inmate sexual assault, in *Prison Sex: Practice and Policy*. Edited by Hensley C. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002, pp 89–100
 105. Hensley C, Dumond RW, Tewksbury R, *et al*: Possible solutions for preventing inmate sexual assault: examining wardens' beliefs. *Am J Crim Just* 27:19–33, 2002
 106. Gilligan J: *Violence: Reflections on a National Epidemic*. New York: Vintage Books, 1997
 107. Arax M, Chon R: 4 guards acquitted of setting up prison rape. *The Los Angeles Times*. November 9, 1999, p A1
 108. Parenti C: *Lockdown America: Police and Age of Crisis*. New York: Verso, 1999
 109. Eigenberg HM: Prison staff and male rape, in *Prison Sex: Practice and Policy*. Edited by Hensley C. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002, pp 49–65
 110. Eigenberg HM: Correctional officers and their perceptions of homosexuality, rape, and prostitution in male prisons. *Prison J* 80:415–33, 2000
 111. Eigenberg HM: Correctional officers' definitions of rape in male prisons: examining the relationship between correctional officers' attitudes toward male rape and their willingness to respond to rape, in *Prison Violence in America (ed 2)*. Edited by Braswell M, Montgomery R, Lombardo L. Cincinnati, OH: Anderson, 1994
 112. Eigenberg HM: Male rape: an empirical examination of correctional officers' attitudes toward male rape in prison. *Prison J* 68:39–56, 1989
 113. American Correctional Association: *Standards Committee Action*, January 10, 2003. Lanham, MD: American Correctional Association, 2003, available at http://www.aca.org/standards/doc_winter_2003standards.pdf (accessed June 2003)
 114. Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825 (1994)
 115. Lehrer E: A blind eye, still turned. *National Review* June 2, 2003, pp 20, 22

Although murder, rape, and kidnapping are considered extremely serious crimes, little criminal career research has focused on these perpetrators. This study examines 500 habitual offenders who have accumulated at least 30 entries in their arrest histories. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and negative binomial regression solutions indicate that murderers, rapists, and kidnapers totaled [Show full abstract] more violent Index arrests, netted more felony convictions and prison sentences, and offended for a longer span than other chronic recidivists. Similarities and disparities in inmate and staff solutions are discussed in light of Prison Rape Elimination Act-based policies. Read more. Publication Authors. Support and lobby. The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 was supported by a broad base of activists, lobbyists, and organizations, particularly Just Detention International. The Southern Baptist Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission lobbied for the passage of the legislation as did the conservative organization Concerned Women for America. By making data on prison rape more available to the prison administrators as well as making corrections facilities more accountable for incidents pertaining to sexual violence and of prison rape it would more than likely decrease the crimes. [12]. "The Prison Rape Elimination Act: Implementation and Unresolved Issues". Criminal Law Brief. Washington College of Law (WCL Research Paper No. 2008-49).