A Piece of Chalk

by GK Chesterton

I remember one splendid morning, all blue and silver, in the summer holidays when I reluctantly tore myself away from the task of doing nothing in particular, and put on a hat of some sort and picked up a walking-stick, and put six very bright-coloured chalks in my pocket. I then went into the kitchen (which, along with the rest of the house, belonged to a very square and sensible old woman in a Sussex village), and asked the owner and occupant of the kitchen if she had any brown paper. She had a great deal; in fact, she had too much; and she mistook the purpose and the rationale of the existence of brown paper. She seemed to have an idea that if a person wanted brown paper he must be wanting to tie up parcels; which was the last thing I wanted to do; indeed, it is a thing which I have found to be beyond my mental capacity. Hence she dwelt very much on the varying qualities of toughness and endurance in the material. I explained to her that I only wanted to draw pictures on it, and that I did not want them to endure in the least; and that from my point of view, therefore, it was a question, not of tough consistency, but of responsive surface, a thing comparatively irrelevant in a parcel. When she understood that I wanted to draw she offered to overwhelm me with note-paper. I then tried to explain the rather delicate logical shade, that I not only liked brown paper, but liked the quality of brownness in paper, just as I like the quality of brownness in October woods, or in beer. Brown paper represents the primal twilight of the first toil of creation, and with a bright-coloured chalk or two you can pick out points of fire in it, sparks of gold, and blood-red, and sea-green, like the first fierce stars that sprang out of divine darkness. All this I said (in an off-hand way) to the old woman; and I put the brown paper in my pocket along with the chalks, and possibly other things. I suppose every one must have reflected how primeval and how poetical are the things that one carries in one’s pocket; the pocket-knife, for instance, the infant of the sword. Once I planned to write a book of poems entirely about things in my pockets. But I found it would be too long; and the age of the great epics is past.

With my stick and my knife, my chalks and my brown paper, I went out on to the great downs...

I crossed one swell of living turf after another, looking for a place to sit down and draw. Do not, for heaven’s sake, imagine I was going to sketch from Nature. I was going to draw devils and seraphim, and blind old gods that men worshipped before the dawn of right, and saints in robes of angry crimson, and seas of strange green, and all the sacred or monstrous symbols that look so well in bright colours on brown paper. They are much better worth drawing than Nature; also they are much easier to draw. When a cow came slouching by in the field next to me, a mere artist might have drawn it; but I always get wrong in the hind legs of quadrupeds. So I drew the soul of a cow; which I saw there plainly walking before me in the sunlight; and the soul was all purple and silver, and had seven horns and the mystery that belongs to all beasts. But though I could not with a crayon get the best out of the landscape, it does not follow that the landscape was not getting the best out of me. And this, I think, is the mistake that people make about the old poets who lived...
before Wordsworth, and were supposed not to care very much about Nature because they
did not describe it much.

They preferred writing about great men to writing about great hills; but they sat on
the great hills to write it. The gave out much less about Nature, but they drank in, perhaps,
much more. They painted the white robes of their holy virgins with the blinding snow, at
which they had stared all day... The greenness of a thousand green leaves clustered into the
live green figure of Robin Hood. The blueness of a score of forgotten skies became the blue
robes of the Virgin. The inspiration went in like sunbeams and came out like Apollo.

But as I sat scrawling these silly figures on the brown paper, it began to dawn on me,
to my great disgust, that I had left one chalk, and that a most exquisite and essential chalk,
behind. I searched all my pockets, but I could not find any white chalk. Now, those who are
acquainted with all the philosophy (nay, religion) which is typified in the art of drawing on
brown paper, know that white is positive and essential. I cannot avoid remarking here upon
a moral significance. One of the wise and awful truths which this brown-paper art reveals, is
this, that white is a colour. It is not a mere absence of colour; it is a shining and affirmative
thing, as fierce as red, as definite as black. When, so to speak, your pencil grows red-hot, it
draws roses; when it grows white-hot, it draws stars. And one of the two or three defiant
verities of the best religious morality, of real Christianity, for example, is exactly this same
thing; the chief assertion of religious morality is that white is a colour. Virtue is not the
absence of vices or the avoidance of moral dangers; virtue is a vivid and separate thing, like
pain or a particular smell. Mercy does not mean not being cruel, or sparing people revenge or
punishment; it means a plain and positive thing like the sun, which one has either seen or
not seen.

Chastity does not mean abstention from sexual wrong; it means something flaming,
like Joan of Arc. In a word, God paints in many colours; but he never paints so gorgeously, I
had almost said so gaudily, as when He paints in white. In a sense our age has realised this
fact, and expressed it in our sullen costume. For if it were really true that white was a blank
and colourless thing, negative and non-committal, then white would be used instead of
black and grey for the funereal dress of this pessimistic period. Which is not the case.

Meanwhile I could not find my chalk.

I sat on the hill in a sort of despair. There was no town near at which it was even
remotely probable there would be such a thing as an artist’s colourman. And yet, without
any white, my absurd little pictures would be as pointless as the world would be if there
were no good people in it. I stared stupidly round, racking my brain for expedients. Then I
suddenly stood up and roared with laughter, again and again, so that the cows stared at me
and called a committee. Imagine a man in the Sahara regretting that he had no sand for his
hour-glass. Imagine a gentleman in mid-ocean wishing that he had brought some salt water
with him for his chemical experiments. I was sitting on an immense warehouse of white
chalk. The landscape was made entirely of white chalk. White chalk was piled more miles
until it met the sky. I stooped and broke a piece of the rock I sat on: it did not mark so well
as the shop chalks do, but it gave the effect. And I stood there in a trance of pleasure,
realising that this Southern England is not only a grand peninsula, and a tradition and a
civilisation; it is something even more admirable. It is a piece of chalk.

The original essay appeared in the Daily News, November 4, 1905.

On a Piece of Chalk was an 1868 lecture by Thomas Henry Huxley to the working men of Norwich during a meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science. It was published as an essay in Macmillan's Magazine in London later that year. The piece reconstructs the geological history of Britain from a simple piece of chalk and demonstrates science as "organized common sense".