John Wesley’s principles and practice of preaching
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Article Preview:

John Wesley is known among Methodists as being a great preacher; that is part of the Wesleyan tradition. But what precisely is the basis of his reputation as a preacher? What sources do we (or should we) rely upon for a description and evaluation of his role as Methodist preacher? Our reading of his Sermons? The reports of his contemporaries? His own comments about preachers and preaching? The verdict of biographers and historians? Should we try to test the results of his preaching, the progress of the revival?

Contemporaries, of course, differed in their perspective on Wesley. Their view of his preaching often was determined by whether or not they agreed with his theology. It appears, for instance, that his reputation as an "enthusiast," in some instances at least, was worse than the reality. A story is told about Wesley, after preaching at Peasholm Green one Sunday, going to the parish Church, as was his custom. The parish priest saw from Wesley's clerical garb that he was a clergyman, and without knowing who he was, offered him the pulpit. After the service, the priest asked the clerk who the preacher was. "Sir," said the clerk, "he is the vagabond Wesley, against whom you warned us." "Aye, indeed!" said the astonished rector, "we are trapped; but never mind, we have had a good sermon." (1)

As for Wesley's own comments, he made plenty of statements about what a preacher should be, and do, and say. But are such expressions by Wesley an adequate basis of measuring him as a preacher? Can we simply evaluate him on the basis of his enumerated principles on the matter? W. L. Doughty, in John Wesley, Preacher, assumes that whatever is positively recommended by John Wesley must be regarded as characteristic of the man himself; that in drawing up his various rules, he was "an imaginary spectator of himself." (2) Such a statement screams for analysis by the historian. And that hypothesis can be tested in some crucial areas, of course, by placing Wesley's actual practice of preaching alongside his principles and checking the correlation. We should not necessarily assume that what he says a preacher should be in fact describes himself, or that what he says a preacher should do describes his activity, or that what he says a preacher should say describes his sermons. What I would like to do is to evaluate (as best we can) John Wesley, Methodist preacher, by some of his own criteria of what a preacher should do and say. That is to say, measure his practice by his principles.

There are several problems entailed in this endeavor. In the first place, we must realize that some of his ideas changed from time to time and remember that he occasionally exaggerated to make a point. These variables are often overlooked in general comments about, and evaluations of, Wesley. We must also recognize that some of the rules he set for preachers might not have been intended to apply to...
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